UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/526,132	02/28/2005	Terrence E Hogan	P02039US2A	2691	
John M Vasuta	7590 01/12/201	0	EXAM	IINER	
Chief IP Counsel			RABAGO, ROBERTO		
Bridgestone Americas Holding 1200 Firestone Parkway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Akron, OH 44317			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/12/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/526,132	HOGAN ET AL.	
_ ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roberto Rábago	1796	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Am</u>	<u>endment after fir</u>	nal rejection
(1) <u>Roberto Rábago</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Arthur M. Reginelli</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 7 January 2010	Time: <u>11:00</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 16, 19 and 21			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Roberto Rábago/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if :	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that if amendments were made to claims 16,19 and 21, the application could be allowed. Some species of claims 19 and 21 were outside the scope of the parent claim, and claim 16 required correction of the drawn structure. Applicants' representative agreed, and the details of an Examiner's amendment were developed which provided for allowance of the claims. See Notice of Allowability prepared on same date for specific changes to the claims.